

**CoC Scoring Matrix 2017~~6~~ – DRAFT**  
**Changes for 2017~~6~~ Tracked**

200 points

1. CoC Coordination and Engagement – 4~~38~~ points
  - a. Inclusive Structure and Participation – 5 points
    - Demonstrate that CoC solicits and considers opinions of individuals and organizations with knowledge of homelessness in the area
    - Demonstrate includes and has open invitation for individuals and organizations that serve homeless particularly victim service providers and homeless youth providers
    - Demonstrate that CoC accepts and considers proposals from organizations that have not previously received funding
  - b. Coordination with Consolidated Plan, ESG and other Fed, State, Private, Other organizations – ~~34~~ points
    1. Coordination with other organizations that serve homeless, especially orgs not funded by CoC, such as orgs funded by HOPWA, TANF, RHY, Head Start, PHA, etc
    2. Coordination with Consolidated Plan and providing input in Plan by providing PIT counts, consultation with ESG recipients in ESG allocation plan and performance plan
  - c. Addressing needs of Victims of Domestic Violence – 3 points
    - Demonstrate how CoC ensures victims are offered safe housing and services, how services are made available from ESG, DOJ funded programs and HHS programs.
  - d. Addressing Needs of LGBT Individuals - 2 points  
Demonstrate LGBT serving organizations /advocates included in CoC, CoCs regularly conduct training about Equal Access, & have implemented a CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy
  - e. PHA ~~53~~ points
    - Coordination with PHA resulting in PHA admission preferences for homeless
    - Demonstrate that new PHA admissions who experience homelessness
    - Documentation in form of excerpt from PHA plan showing how they address homeless preferences or letter from PHA about homeless preferences
  - f. Discharge Planning – 2 points
    - Demonstrate coordination in State/local discharge planning efforts to show those discharge not released directly to streets, ESG or other homeless Assistance programs
  - ~~f. Centralized/Coordinated Assessment System – 3 points~~
    - ~~- Describe how entire area is covered by system that ensures appropriate housing based on level of need~~
    - ~~- Demonstrate centralized assessment system for entire area that ensures identification, engagement and assistance based on level of need that is easily accessible~~
    - ~~- Demonstrate strategy for advertising to reach homeless with barriers including standardized assessment~~
    - ~~- Must identify types of organizations that participate in centralized assessment system such as local gov, law enforcement, CDBG/HOME/ESG/education, mental health, etc.~~
  - g. Housing First & Reducing barriers to housing – ~~812~~ points
    - 75% of project applications are low barrier project that allow entry to those who have low or no income, substance use, criminal records

- Demonstrate that 75% of PSH, TH and RR applications are Housing First by providing low-barrier TH and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization in PH

h. Outreach – 3 points

- Must implement strategy to prevent criminalization of homelessness
- Must affirm further fair housing as per 24 CFR 578.93(c)
- Ensure outreach is conducted to those least likely to request housing and services
- Demonstrate that 100% of area is covered by this strategy

~~Describe specific outreach procedures to those with disabilities and limited English~~

i. Affirmative Outreach – 1 point

~~Describe specific outreach procedures to those with disabilities and limited English~~

Market housing & services to persons regardless of race, color, familial status, etc. who are least likely to apply in the absence of special outreach

ji. Criminalization – 2 points

- Strategies to ensure homelessness is not criminalized, including educating law enforcement/local policy makers/businesses, & implementing plans

kj. Rapid Re-housing – 84 points

- Demonstrate increase in number of RR units available on HIC ~~as compared to HDX from 2014~~

jk. Mainstream Benefits – 2 points

- Demonstrate that participants receive mainstream benefits
- Show you keep staff up to date on mainstream resources and enroll in insurance

2. Project Ranking, Review and Capacity – ~~2930~~ points

a. Objective Criteria and Past Performance - 18 points

~~up to 10 points for~~ attaching written documentation demonstrating use of objective criteria (cost effectiveness, performance data, population served)

~~up to 4 points for consideration of past performance as indicated in APR~~ included at least one factor related to achieving positive housing outcomes (i.e., exits to perm housing)

~~up to 4 points for consideration of severity of need and vulnerability of participants~~ included specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim service providers using databases comparable to HMIS

b. Severity of Needs & Performance – 4 points

Specific needs/vulnerabilities considered (domestic violence, low/no income, substance abuse, etc.) and taken into account in ranking/selection process

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Tab stops: 0.5", Left

cb. Reallocation – 4 points

Demonstrate ability to reallocate lower-performing projects to create new higher-performing projects

- CoC actively encourages
- CoC cumulative reallocation > 20% of ARD in 2013, ~~2014 & 2015~~ through 2017

de. Ranking and Selection Process – 3 points

- Demonstrate ranking that is publicly announced with published policies that include dated meeting minutes for competition process
- Provide written documentation of rating and ranking/review process
- Show evidence of decisions in dated minutes available to CoC membership

- Post on website the full Consolidated Application with all priority listing 2 days before application deadline with notification that this is available
- Must notify applicants whether accepted or rejected in writing outside of e-snaps with reasons for rejection 15 days before application deadline

~~d. Recipient Performance Monitoring – 4 points~~

- ~~— Demonstrate monitoring of performance and capacity or renewals~~
- ~~-Must monitor for utilization rates, housing stability, eligibility, length of time homeless, destination upon exit, income and mainstream benefits~~
- ~~-Must describe criteria for monitoring and how assess capacity with timely APR's and draws~~

3. HMIS – ~~138~~ points

a. HMIS Governance – 2 points

- Have in place charter with policies to meet HMIS requirements
- Policies must be incorporated in charter or referenced in charter
- Must have MOU that defines roles of HMIS Lead
- Charter and MOU must be attached

b. HMIS Policy and Procedures – ~~23~~ points

- HMIS policies and procedures manual must be attached
- Must include role of HMIS Lead and contributors

c. HIC (Housing Inventory Count) – 1 point

- Must submit HIC in HDX by May ~~512~~

d. Bed Coverage – ~~64~~ points

- Must show ~~856~~% or higher bed Coverage rate for each housing type
- This is number of HMIS beds divided by number of year-round beds dedicated to homeless (beds of victim service providers must NOT be included)
- If coverage rate is 0 to 85% provide steps on how to increase percentage over next 12 months

~~e. Data Quality – 4 points~~

~~— Must report number of unduplicated client records with null or missing values per day as indicated on a selected day within last 10 days of Jan 2016 —~~

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

~~— Must monitor within last 10 days of January 2016~~

~~-Need to have below 10% null or missing and below 10% refused or unknown based on a single day selected by CoC in last 10 days of Jan. 2016~~

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

~~-Demonstrate HMIS data is reviewed at least quarterly and provides standardized HMIS data quality reports for CoC and CHO~~

~~df. Required HMIS Annual Homeless Assessment Reports – 24 points~~

- ~~-Must be able to generate APR and CAPER, AHAR and HIC~~

~~— 2 of the 4 pts — all tables submitted in AHAR accepted & used~~

4 Point in Time Count – ~~69~~ points

a. PIT Count and Data Submission – 3 points

- to get these points need to have done the PIT in last 10 days of Jan
- and submitted the data by May ~~512~~

~~b. Methodology for Sheltered PIT – 2 points~~

~~— methods used to assure accurate count including subpopulations~~

~~— processes in place to ensure quality and document changes to methodology~~

~~c. Methodology for Unsheltered PIT – 2 points~~

~~-ability to collect accurate quality data and ensure quality with no occurrences of anyone more than once~~

~~— showing changes in methodology from 2014 or 2015~~

~~bd. Effective Youth Count in 2016 – 2 points~~

~~- Specific activities, including homeless youth & organizations serving youth, to get~~

better count

5. System Performance – 4~~90~~ points

a. Reducing number of homeless by at least 5% – ~~107~~ points

-demonstrate overall reduction in number of homeless

-decrease in sheltered homeless since 2015 PIT

-decrease in unsheltered

b. Reduction in Number of First Time Homeless – ~~32~~ points

- demonstrate how CoC is working to reduce homeless for the first time

-identify process used to identify risk factors

-describe strategies and partnerships to address those at risk of becoming homeless

c. Length of Time Homeless – ~~117~~ points

- describe how length of time people remain homeless has been reduced

-describe ongoing efforts to continue to reduce length of time remaining homeless

-narrative of specific efforts used to track and record length of time they remain homeless

-planning process to reduce length of time they remain homeless

-show how data from CoC and ESG are considered

d. Successful PH Placement or Retention – ~~97~~ points

-Demonstrate that 80% who exit CoC TH or SSO or RR exit to PH

-Show that they remain in PH for 12 months

e. Returns to Homelessness – ~~64~~ points

-Strategies implemented to identify those who return to homeless

-strategies to reduce number who return to homelessness

f. Job and Income Growth – 4 points

-strategies implemented to access employment and mainstream benefits

-how CoC funded projects assist to implement these strategies

-Success of CoC at increasing income from employment and mainstream benefits

-show how projects comply with Section 3

-show how contracting for opportunities for low and very low income persons

g. System Performance Measures - ~~6~~

- Attach data quality report generated from HDX ~~providing info for each required measure~~

6. Performance and Strategic Planning – 60 points

a. Ending Chronic Homelessness by 2017 – 15 points

~~-2 points if strategies from 2013/2014 were accomplished~~

~~-23 points for prioritizing PH for chronically homeless for perm supportive housing, must~~

use Notice CPD 14-012

For PH prioritized for chronic homeless

- 510 points for increase ~~or maintain~~ total number of PSH beds dedicated for chronic in 20176 compared to 20165 HIC
- ~~Must do 6 points for at least 85%~~90% of PSH that is prioritized for chronically homeless OR Dedicated PLUS
- NO points if PSH beds dedicated to chronic decreased
- 2 points for reducing number of chronic homeless compared to 20165 PIT  
Must do sheltered and unsheltered  
Explain any increase or no change

b. Ending Homelessness Among Households with Children – 15 points

- 23 points for prioritizing households with children based on need
- 3 points for local plan/strategy to rapidly rehouse households with children in 30 days
- 45 ~~points~~ for ~~implementing RR model for households with children~~  
Demonstrate increase in number of RR units available for families based on HIC
- 2 points for ensuring ES, TH and PH do not separate families upon admission
- 32 points for demonstrating decrease in total number of homeless with children
- must explain any increase
- 1 point youth & families w children access educational services

c. Ending youth Homelessness – 15 points

- 25 points for strategy to address/prioritize unique needs of unaccompanied youth based on need
- 5 points for demonstrating increase in “residence prior to entry” from 2014 to 2015  
For youth served who resided on streets prior to entry strategies addressing  
uniques needs of homeless youth
- 73 points plan to increase availability of housing & services funding for youth in 2017
- 1 point describe collaboration with schools in area
- 1 point for participating in school meetings and with education liaisons and education coordinators, collaboration with State/local liaisons

d. Ending Veteran Homelessness – 15 points

- 8 points for decrease in Vets on PIT, must explain any increases
- 4 points for 75% reduction in total homeless vets in 2015 PIT compared to 2010 homeless households w children & youth [???
- 32 points for identifying, assessing and referring homeless vets to HUD-VASH and SSVF

I could not find any reference to the bonus points for early submission– did anyone see that in the 2016 NOFA??

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"