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| --- |
|  Gaps Governance Committee Meeting (*August 2015 Minutes*) |
| Minutes  | Date: august 18th, 2015Type: scheduledTIME: 9:00-10:45 am |  | Location: Baptist healing Trust  |
|  |
| Facilitator | Erik Cole |
| taker of minutes | Amanda Wood |
| Attendees | Dan Heim, Suzie Tolmie, Amanda Wood, Rachel Hester, Beth Shinn, Tracy DeTomasi, Lynsey Comeau, Mariel D’Andrea, Jeff Hill, Will Connelly, Catherine Knowles, Matt Deeb, Frank Ghertner, Cara Robinson, Daryl Murray, Suzie Tolmie, Erik Cole |
| absent members | Jeff Blum |
|  |
| Agenda topics |
| April/june Minutes approval  |  | Suzie TolmIE |
| motion | One motion to approve April & June 2015 Minutes. |
| Approved/denied | **Approved.**  |
|  |
| strategic planning |  | Will Connelly |
| Discussion | Will Connelly |
| Conclusion | Will Connelly gave an update on the current strategic planning process with Focus Strategies. The consultants have received budget data and performance data from HMIS. We are a few weeks behind schedule, but still on course to have the analytic results later this year.  |
|  |  |  |
| coordinated entry system |   | Will connelly |
| Discussion | Will Connelly provided an update to the new CES group and direction. Will and the VISTAs have been working with Metro Social Services to pilot a family oriented coordinated entry system. There is no official launch date, but MSS might be able to dedicate resources to the first phase of implementation.  |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| HMIS |  | Amanda Wood |
| Discussion | Mandy Wood provided an update to the current state of HMIS. Mandy and Suzie are working with different options around purchasing the SyncPoint module for Nashville’s system. This would allow data to be directly imported to the site. The major obstacle at this point is funding.  |
| CoC 2015 Renewal Projects  | (Ranking and Review) | Suzie Tolmie |
| Discussion | Suzie presented the Project Scores and ranking to the governance committee. After discussions around the Performance Evaluation Committee’s (PEC) thought process and strategy, the governance chair motioned to approve the ranking as is. The motion was passed and from this, two separate working groups were formed. The first is a reallocation working group that will explore this option for next year’s ranking. The second working group will focus on the application tools used in evaluating the projects. Key Items: 1. HMIS and CoC projects, because they are mandated, were placed below the ranking’s “A” group.
2. Tier II draws the line between projects scoring a “B” average and those scoring anything below.
3. NOFA has not been released which will draw the tiers based on funding availability.
 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| CoC 2015 Permanent Housing Bonus |  | Suzie Tolmie |
| Discussion | Suzie announced that HUD will be taking proposals from CoC’s who wish to start a CH only Permanent Housing Project. The details surrounding this project have not been released and will more than likely be made clear in the NOFA. Last year’s competition also included an opportunity to fund such a project and Suzie provided the group with the details around that.  |