

METROPOLITAN NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

EXHIBITS

**2016-2017 ANNUAL UPDATE
TO THE
2013-2018 CONSOLIDATED PLAN
FOR
HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
PROGRAM YEAR FOUR**

For the period April 1, 2016 – March 31, 2017

Prepared by:

Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency
701 South Sixth Street
Nashville, Tennessee 37206



Updated May 2, 2016

2016-2017 Annual Update to the 2013-2018 Consolidated Plan (2016 Action Plan)

Program Year Four

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 – CPD Notice 16-01

Exhibit 2 – HUD Approval to Extend Submittal Deadline

Exhibit 3 – Notice of 2016 Allocations

Exhibit 4 – Geographic Priorities/Place-Based Census Tracts

Exhibit 5 – Determining a Census Tract Number

EXHIBIT 1

CPD NOTICE 16-01

**GUIDANCE ON SUBMITTING CONSOLIDATED PLANS AND ANNUAL ACTION
PLANS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016**



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
Community Planning and Development
WASHINGTON, DC 20410-7000

Special Attention of:

All CPD Division Directors
HUD Field Offices
HUD Regional Offices
All CDBG Grantees
All HOME Participating Jurisdictions
All HTF Formula Grantees
All ESG Formula Grantees
All HOPWA Formula Grantees

NOTICE: CPD-16-01

Issued: January 28, 2016

Expires: September 30, 2016

Subject: Guidance on Submitting Consolidated Plans and Annual Action Plans
for Fiscal Year (FY) 2016

Purpose:

The purpose of this Notice is to instruct all CDBG, HOME, HTF, ESG and HOPWA formula grantees on the timing of submission of FY 2016 consolidated plans and action plans. This Notice provides instructions to grantees/participating jurisdictions under each of these programs regarding costs incurred prior to execution of a grant agreement. This Notice further informs Entitlement CDBG grantees and HOME participating jurisdictions of waivers being made available to certain grantees/participating jurisdictions to assist in the implementation of the pre-award costs instructions. These procedures apply equally to grantees' 3-5 year consolidated plans as well as to annual action plans (either as a stand-alone document or as a component of the overall consolidated plan submission).

Notes regarding applicability:

This Notice uses the term "grantee" generically, to also include HOME participating jurisdictions, except where the term appears in discussions explicitly limited to one of the other covered funding programs. Provisions of this Notice covering the Entitlement CDBG program also apply to Insular Areas grantees and CDBG nonentitlement county grantees in Hawaii, as the Entitlement CDBG program regulations also apply to their CDBG funds.

Background:

Pursuant to 24 CFR 91.15(a)(1), each jurisdiction should submit its consolidated plan to HUD at least 45 days before the start of its program year. The earliest date on which HUD will accept a consolidated plan or action plan submission is November 15, 2015; and the latest submission deadline is August 16, 2016. However, in most years, HUD does not receive its

annual funding appropriation until several months into the federal fiscal year (rarely earlier than December, and sometimes as late as April). Once a fiscal year's appropriation is enacted, HUD needs time to compute grantees' allocation amounts for the formula programs covered by the consolidated plan. The Housing Trust Fund (HTF) Program is funded by the mandatory assessments on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac - Government-Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs) - and the assessments will not be transferred to the U.S. Treasury Department until March 2016. When appropriations are significantly delayed, grantees with program year start dates prior to the announcement of allocations do not know their actual allocation amounts when they are developing their plans, conducting citizen participation, and submitting their plans.

According to 24 CFR 91.500(a), a plan will be deemed approved 45 days after HUD receives the plan, unless HUD notifies the jurisdiction before that date that the plan is disapproved. In past years, HUD typically did not disapprove a plan solely because it was based on estimated allocation amounts. As a result, a plan submitted by a grantee before its allocation amounts are announced typically received automatic approval, even though the plan did not list the grantee's actual allocation amounts.

This practice resulted in significant additional work for both HUD and grantees. After the actual allocation amounts were announced, a grantee had to submit a revised, re-signed SF-424 form listing the actual allocation amounts for each of its formula grants. In many cases, the grantee had to make additional changes to amend its plan to reflect its actual allocation amounts. This may have constituted a substantial amendment under 24 CFR 91.505, which is subject to the grantee's citizen participation plan process. For FY 2016, HUD will not execute a grant agreement with a grantee until HUD has received a plan (or an amended plan) which incorporates the actual allocation amounts a grantee is to receive for that fiscal year.

Revised Procedures for Submission of FY 2016 Consolidated Plans and Action Plans by Grantees with Early Program Year Start Dates:

HUD is issuing the following revised procedures to govern the submission and review of consolidated plans and action plans for FY 2016 funding prior to computation of FY 2016 formula allocation amounts. These procedures will apply to any grantee whose normal consolidated plan/action plan submission deadline (45 days before the start of the program year) falls either before, or less than 60 days after, the date HUD announces FY 2016 allocation amounts for CDBG, ESG, HOME and HOPWA formula funding. (See Section II. for a discussion of the timing of Housing Trust Fund allocations.)

The FY 2016 Omnibus appropriations bill was signed into law on December 18, 2015. The law requires HUD to announce FY 2016 allocation amounts to grantees within 60 days of enactment. Thus, grantees can expect to learn their FY 2016 formula program allocation amounts no later than mid-February. The provisions of this Notice are therefore likely to apply to grantees with program year start dates on or before June 1. At this time, HUD cannot provide estimated FY 2016 allocation amounts for grantees to use for planning purposes in developing annual action plans. HUD does not anticipate announcing HTF funding allocations to states until April, 2016 at the earliest.

Note: These procedures will not apply to grantees whose normal consolidated plan/action plan submission deadline is more than 60 days after HUD announcement of FY 2016 formula allocation amounts; those grantees should have sufficient time to revise their plans to match actual allocation amounts prior to the due date for their plan.

I. Revised Submission Dates for FY 2016 Action Plans for Formula Funding programs: CDBG, ESG, HOME, HOPWA

Grantees are advised not to submit their consolidated plan/action plan until after the FY 2016 formula allocations have been announced. Grantees due to submit a new 3-5 year consolidated plan in FY 2016 should refrain from submitting the overall consolidated plan as well as the FY 2016 action plan contained within the overall document. HUD cannot complete its review of the overall consolidated plan components independent of the current year's action plan component. Once HUD informs grantees of their FY 2016 formula funding allocation amounts, each grantee should, prior to submission, ensure that the actual FY 2016 allocation amounts are reflected in the form SF-424, in the description of resources and objectives, and in the description of activities to be undertaken (or, for states, the method of distribution). It may be necessary for a grantee to revise its action plan before submission to HUD.

An affected grantee may delay submission of its consolidated plan or action plan to HUD until 60 days after the date allocations are announced, or until August 16, 2016 (whichever comes first). This delay will give a grantee time to revise its action plan to incorporate actual allocation amounts, and to conduct any additional citizen participation, if necessary.

For example, if HUD were to announce the FY 2016 allocation amounts to grantees on February 15, 2016:

- For grantees with January 1 – April 1 program year start dates, their normal plan submission date would have been before the date that HUD announced allocation amounts. These grantees would be able to postpone submission of their consolidated plan/action plan until April 15, 2016.
- For grantees with May 1 and June 1 program year start dates, their normal plan submission date would be less than 60 days after HUD's announcement of allocation amounts. These grantees would also be able to postpone submission of their consolidated plan/action plan until April 15, 2016.
- Grantees with July 1 and later program year start dates would have more than 60 days between the date of announcement of allocations and the normal submission deadline for their consolidated plan/action plan. These grantees would be expected to submit their plan on time.

However, in no case may a consolidated plan/action plan be submitted to HUD later than August 16, 2016. Failure to submit an action plan for FY 2016 by August 16, 2016, will result in the automatic loss of FY 2016 CDBG funds to the grantee. This requirement is established by statute, and HUD cannot waive the August 16 submission deadline. Funding under other CPD formula programs is not subject to this deadline but, since virtually all CPD formula grantees

receive CDBG funding, the CDBG submission requirement effectively establishes the deadline for submission of action plans.

The regulations, at 24 CFR 91.15(a)(1), state that "...each jurisdiction should submit its consolidated plan at least 45 days before the start of its program year." HUD has determined that it is not necessary to waive this provision in order to implement the procedures in this Notice for FY 2016 action plans. This provision does not prohibit a grantee from submitting a plan after that time. It is not necessary for an affected grantee to request an exception to its normal action plan submission date under 24 CFR 91.15(a)(1), nor is it necessary for a field office to grant an exception to the action plan submission deadlines, under 24 CFR 91.20, in order to implement the procedures in this Notice.

II. Special Submission Date for the HTF Program

The Housing Trust Fund (HTF) is a new affordable housing production program to increase and preserve the supply of decent, safe, and sanitary affordable housing for extremely low-income and very low-income families. HTF is a formula grant program for states.

On January 30, 2015, HUD published an interim rule implementing HTF, codified at 24 CFR Part 93. The rule requires the state to include its HTF allocation plan in its annual action plan as described at 24 CFR 91.320(k)(5). The HTF allocation plan describes the method for the distribution of funds, and establishes the application requirements and criteria for selecting applications. The rule also requires a local jurisdiction that receives a subgrant of HTF funds from the state to include a HTF allocation plan (24 CFR 91.220(l)(5)) in its annual action plan, but due to the timing of the publication of HTF allocations, the local jurisdiction may need to amend its annual action plan to include HTF.

The timing of the HTF formula allocations is different from other CPD formula programs (CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG) because the source of funding is the mandatory assessments on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac rather than Federal appropriations. The earliest HUD expects to publish the HTF formula allocations is April 2016 - well after the date that formula allocations for other CPD formula programs will be announced. A state may submit its consolidated plan/action plan for the other formula grant programs before the HTF formula allocations are published and then submit its HTF allocation plan as a substantial amendment to its annual action plan, after the HTF formula allocations are published.

The state or the entity designated by the state to be the HTF grantee may begin to develop its HTF allocation plan following its citizen participation process in accordance with its consolidated plan citizen participation plan before the publication of the HTF formula allocations. However, the state may not submit its HTF allocation plan before its HTF formula allocation has been published. HUD recommends the state use the \$3 million minimum HTF allocation as a baseline formula allocation estimate when conducting citizen participation.

III. HUD Review of Action Plans

HUD will disapprove as substantially incomplete any consolidated plan or action plan covering FY 2016 funding that does not reflect actual CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA allocation amounts on the form SF-424, in the description of resources and objectives, and in the description of activities to be undertaken (or, for states, the method of distribution). A grantee whose action plan is disapproved for this reason is advised to not resubmit a revised plan until HUD has announced the actual FY 2016 allocation amounts, and until the grantee has incorporated the actual allocation amounts into its plan. (See Section II. regarding including the HTF program in an original or amended consolidated plan/action plan.)

24 CFR 91.500(d) states that “(t)he jurisdiction may revise or resubmit a plan within 45 days after the first notification of disapproval.” HUD has determined that it is not necessary to waive this provision in order to implement the procedures in this Notice for FY 2016 consolidated plans/action plans. This provision does not prohibit a grantee from re-submitting a plan after that time period.

24 CFR 91.105(c), 91.115(c) and 91.505 require a grantee to comply with citizen participation requirements when it undertakes a substantial amendment to an approved plan. A plan that has been disapproved by HUD is, by definition, not an approved plan. When a grantee’s plan is disapproved by HUD, the consolidated plan regulations do not necessarily require a grantee to undertake further citizen participation on the changes the grantee makes before re-submitting it. (A major exception to this, however, would be if the reason for disapproval involved the grantee’s failure to fulfill citizen participation requirements to begin with.) However, as noted in Section IV below, there are circumstances in which a grantee may need to make major revisions to a disapproved plan which could trigger further citizen participation efforts. A grantee with a disapproved plan should review its citizen participation plan and local policies to determine whether it will need to conduct further citizen participation as a result of the changes it makes to incorporate actual allocation amounts into its plan, prior to re-submission of the revised plan.

IV. Development of Proposed Action Plans and Citizen Participation During The Interim

A grantee has several options regarding fulfilling its citizen participation obligations while waiting for HUD to announce FY 2016 allocation amounts:

- a. A grantee may conduct citizen participation on its draft plan (with estimated funding amounts) according to its normal timetable and citizen participation procedures. (Grantees are cautioned, though, that they should not submit their plan until allocation amounts are known.) A grantee doing so should make clear that the funding levels shown are estimated amounts. In addition, the grantee should include “contingency provision” language in its action plan which explains how it will adjust its proposed plan to match its actual allocation amounts, once actual amounts become known. By including such contingency language, a grantee can avoid the need to make significant

revisions to its plan (beyond incorporating the final allocation amounts into the plan and the SF-424 form). The grantee may also avoid the potential need to conduct additional citizen participation on a plan that has to be significantly revised in order to reflect actual allocation amounts.

Examples of contingency provisions include:

- A plan could state that all proposed activities' budgets will be proportionally increased or decreased from the estimated funding levels to match actual allocation amounts.
- A grantee could express its budget in terms of percentages of the allocation to be budgeted to each planned activity, along with the grantee's current estimate of how many dollars that equates to for each activity. [For example, regardless of what the final allocation amounts are, the United Interfaith Street Outreach Program will receive 22% (currently estimated to be approximately \$38,000) of the grantee's total ESG allocation, and the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance activity will receive 10% (currently estimated to be about \$68,750) of the HOME allocation.]
- A plan could state that any increase or decrease in funding to match actual allocation amounts will be applied to one or more specific activities (e.g., any increase or decrease relative to the grantee's estimated allocation amount will be applied to the single family housing rehabilitation grant program).
- A plan could list its proposed activities in priority order and indicate that the East Side Sidewalk Replacement activity listed in the plan is a "backup" activity that will be funded only if sufficient CDBG funding exists; or conversely, if the grantee's actual allocation is less than estimated, the East Side Sidewalk Replacement activity will not be funded in FY 2016.
- A plan could state that, should the actual allocation amount exceed the grantee's estimate, the grantee will increase the Uptown Sewer Separation activity budget and will extend the service area block-by-block along the 600-900 blocks of Cherry Street, based on the amount of additional funding available.

A grantee may include these or other comparable provisions singly or in any combination to meet its needs. A grantee may adopt a different contingency approach for each of the programs covered by this Notice (CDBG, ESG, HOME, HOPWA and HTF).

- b. Alternatively, a grantee may prepare a proposed action plan according to its normal timetable, but wait until actual allocation amounts are known before undertaking citizen participation actions. Once allocation amounts are announced by HUD, the grantee will need to update relevant sections of its plan (such as the listings of resources and objectives, and the description of activities or the state's method of distribution) to reflect actual allocation amounts before conducting citizen participation. All grantees intending to incur pre-award costs under the programs covered by this Notice should be aware that this option will not be available to them, as citizen participation requirements must be met before pre-award costs are incurred. (See section V. below.)

HUD has developed these procedures to minimize disruption to grantees and to minimize duplication of effort on grantees' part. A grantee that does not follow either option a. or b. above (i.e., the grantee undertakes citizen participation according to its normal timetable, based solely on estimated funding levels, and the plan does not contain any contingency language on how the final plan will be adjusted to match actual allocation amounts) runs the risk of increasing its own work obligations and costs. The grantee will still be required to update its plan to incorporate actual allocation amounts before submission to HUD. The grantee may need to undertake additional publication and citizen participation processes, depending on the difference between its actual allocation amounts and the estimated amounts in its proposed action plan, and how the grantee plans to adjust its proposed activities in order to match its actual allocation amounts. Local policies and procedures may also require the grantee to obtain re-approval of the revised plan from its legislative body or authorizing officials.

A plan that has not yet been submitted to HUD is also not an approved plan, and is not subject to the citizen participation requirements of a substantial amendment. However, a grantee that delays its plan submission should review its citizen participation plan and local policies to determine whether it will need to conduct further citizen participation as a result of the changes it makes to incorporate actual allocation amounts into its plan, prior to its submission.

V. Pre-Award Costs

A. General Provisions applicable to all Consolidated Plan programs

Special attention must be paid to situations in which a grantee wishes to incur costs prior to grant award. For example, under certain programs, a grantee may want to execute annual renewals of agreements with social service providers in order to prevent interruption of social services. The annual performance cycle of these agreements might normally begin after the grantee's official program year start date, but under this Notice the grantee cannot even submit its Action Plan until after the date that the agreements need to be executed. Thus, the timing instructions in this Notice may cause some program costs to be classified as pre-award costs where they would otherwise not have been.

The government-wide Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements regulation, at 2 CFR Part 200, contains language concerning agency approval of pre-award costs. The language at 2 CFR 200.458 applies to any program that does not have its own separate provisions concerning authorization of pre-award costs:

§ 200.458. Pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the Federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the Federal award and only with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency.

The ESG, HOPWA and HTF program regulations do not contain program-specific language establishing pre-award cost requirements for those programs; the CDBG and HOME program regulations do contain pre-award cost requirements unique to those programs. (However, the HOME requirements apply only to certain types of pre-award costs.) The following guidance applies to all five programs. Additionally, guidance unique to each separate program is provided below.

The Part 91 consolidated Plan regulations make distinctions between a “proposed plan” and “a plan”. Most notably, 24 CFR 91.105(b) and 91.115(b) describe the citizen participation requirements for a grantee’s proposed plan. For purposes of this Notice regarding pre-award costs, HUD considers a grantee’s plan to have moved from being “a proposed plan” to being “a plan” once a grantee has completed the publication, public hearing and public comment requirements at 24 CFR 91.105(b)(2), (3) and (4) or 91.115(b)(2), (3) and (4), and has developed its written summary of comments received pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105(b)(5) or 91.115(b)(5).

To minimize additional workload on grantees and HUD field offices, this Notice establishes the following procedures implementing the 2 CFR 200.458 requirements cited above. This Notice provides HUD approval to incur pre-award costs if and when the grantee completes the following documentation in its local files:

1. The grantee documents that the costs incurred prior to grant award are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the activity in question.
2. The grantee documents that the costs are for eligible activities under the regulations for the applicable funding program;
3. The grantee documents that the grantee has complied with all other requirements for pre-award costs under the regulations for the applicable funding program or as described below;
4. The activity for which costs will be incurred is included in a consolidated plan/action plan;
5. The grantee documents completion of its citizen participation process by including in its files a written, *dated* summary of citizen participation comments received on its plan, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105(b)(5) or 91.115(b)(5) as applicable.

If the grantee’s files contain all other necessary documentation supporting the costs (described below for each program), the date of HUD approval for pre-award costs is the date of the written summary of citizen participation comments, or the grantee’s program year start date, which is later.

B. Additional Provisions: Entitlement CDBG Program

The Entitlement CDBG program regulations specify, at 24 CFR 570.200(h), the situations under which a grantee may incur costs prior to the effective date of its grant agreement. The provisions of this Notice will affect how grantees comply with the pre-award cost reimbursement requirements.

1. 24 CFR 570.200(h) defines the effective date of a grantee's agreement as the grantee's program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan is received by HUD (whichever is later). Under the provisions of this Notice, a grantee's action plan may not be submitted to (and thus received by) HUD until several months after the grantee's program year start date. This may negatively affect grantees' ability to incur pre-award costs.
2. Therefore, HUD has issued a waiver of 24 CFR 570.200(h) to the extent necessary to implement an alternative requirement: the effective date of a grantee's FY 2016 grant agreement will be considered to be the earlier of the grantee's program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan (with actual allocation amounts) is received by HUD. Attachment A contains the HUD memorandum authorizing this waiver. This waiver is applicable to any applicable Entitlement CDBG grantee seeking to incur pre-award costs, whose action plan submission is delayed past the normal submission date because of delayed enactment of FY 2016 appropriations for the Department. An affected community applying this waiver shall document in writing the conditions giving rise to the need to use this waiver, and maintain the documentation for HUD's review. Grantees' authority to make use of this waiver is only in effect until August 16, 2016, as that is the last date that a grantee may submit its FY 2016 action plan.
3. 24 CFR 570.200(h)(1)(i) requires that the activity for which the costs are being incurred must be included in a consolidated plan/action plan prior to the costs being incurred; grantee compliance with steps 4 and 5 under the general pre-award cost provisions above will meet that requirement. However, grantees must also comply with § 570.200(h)(1)(ii), which further specifies that the plan must advise citizens of the extent to which the pre-award costs will affect future grants. CDBG grantees intending to incur pre-award costs are cautioned that option b. described in Section IV above is not likely to be a feasible alternative for them. HUD advises any Entitlement CDBG grantee intending to incur pre-award costs to follow the process described in Section IV.a. above; in doing so, the grantee will need to ensure that it has met the citizen participation and notification requirements above.
4. Pursuant to § 570.200(h)(1)(iii) and § 570.604, the costs and corresponding activities must comply with the environmental review requirements at 24 CFR Part 58.

C. Additional Provisions: HOME Program

The HOME regulations specify situations under which a grantee may incur costs prior to the effective date of its grant agreement. The provisions of this Notice will affect how grantees comply with the pre-award cost reimbursement requirements.

1. 24 CFR 92.212(b) defines the effective date for incurring administrative and planning costs to be charged to the HOME allocation as the beginning of the participating jurisdiction's consolidated program year or the date that the consolidated plan is received by HUD (whichever is later). Under the provisions of this Notice, a participating jurisdiction's action plan may not be submitted to (and thus received by) HUD until several months after the PJ's program year start date. This may negatively affect a participating jurisdiction's ability to incur planning and administrative pre-award costs. Therefore, HUD has issued a waiver of 24 CFR 92.212(b) to the extent necessary to implement an alternative requirement: the effective date of a grantee's FY 2016 grant agreement will be considered to be the earlier of the participating jurisdiction's program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan (with actual allocation amounts) is received by HUD.
2. Attachment B contains the HUD memorandum authorizing this waiver. This waiver is applicable to any HOME participating jurisdiction seeking to incur pre-award administrative and planning costs, whose action plan submission is delayed past the normal submission date because of delayed enactment of FY 2016 appropriations for the Department. An affected participating jurisdiction applying this waiver shall document in writing the conditions giving rise to the need to use this waiver, and maintain the documentation for HUD's review. A participating jurisdiction's authority to make use of this waiver is only in effect until August 16, 2016, as that is the last date that a grantee may submit its FY 2016 action plan.
3. The HOME regulations already provide a participating jurisdiction with the authority to incur certain project costs. Eligible project costs may be incurred during the current program year in an amount not to exceed 25% of the current HOME allocation amount, to be charged after the award of the HOME allocation, provided the costs are in compliance with the requirements of the HOME Program. Before incurring the pre-award costs, the participating jurisdiction must comply with its citizen participation plan requirements.
4. 24 CFR 92.212(c) requires that the project costs that are being incurred must be included in a consolidated plan/action plan prior to the costs being incurred; compliance with steps 4 and 5 under the general pre-award cost provisions above will meet that requirement. These provisions must also be met if the participating jurisdiction elects to develop a mini-action plan pursuant to § 92.212(c).

D. Additional Provisions: ESG Program

An ESG grantee is permitted to incur pre-award costs against its FY 2016 grant, provided that the grantee has met the general conditions described above, plus the following conditions:

1. The costs and corresponding activities must comply with the Emergency Solutions Grants regulations at 24 CFR Part 576.
2. The costs and corresponding activities must comply with environmental review requirements. The “Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act” (MAP-21), (Public Law 112-141) made several changes to HUD’s homeless assistance programs, including authorization to permit recipients and other responsible entities to assume HUD environmental review responsibilities in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. Initially, for FY 2012 ESG funds, ESG projects were subject to the environmental review procedures under 24 CFR Part 50, which assigns HUD all environmental review responsibilities due to the HEARTH Act’s repeal of Section 443 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act. However, the MAP-21 Act made several changes to HUD’s homeless programs, including the requirement that recipients follow 24 CFR Part 58. Now, recipients or other Responsible Entities assume environmental review responsibilities under 24 CFR Part 58.

E. Additional Provisions: HOPWA Program

A HOPWA formula grantee is permitted to incur pre-award costs against its FY 2016 grant, provided that the grantee has met the general conditions described above, plus the following conditions:

1. The costs are incurred for eligible activities undertaken in accordance with HOPWA program regulations at 24 CFR Part 574.
2. The costs and corresponding activities must comply with environmental review requirements at 24 CFR 574.510.

F. Additional Provisions: HTF Program

HUD is authorizing HTF grantees to incur pre-award costs permitted under 2 CFR 200.458 for planning activities and preparation of the HTF allocation plan. Eligible pre-award costs may include the cost of public hearings, consultations, and publication of public notices, as well as developing program guidelines. Pre-award costs may not exceed 5% of the minimum allocation amount of \$3 million. This is one half of the grant amount that the state may use for administration and planning in accordance with 24 CFR 93.202, based on receiving a minimum grant amount. The costs and corresponding activities must comply with the environmental review requirements at 24 CFR 93.301(f).

VI. Applicability of This Notice to Future Years

This Notice only applies to consolidated plans/action plans submitted for FY 2016 funding on or before August 16, 2016, or 60 days after HUD announcement of the FY 2016 allocation amounts for CDBG, ESG, HOME and HOPWA formula funding (whichever is earlier). HUD anticipates revising the Consolidated Plan regulations (and other related regulations) for future years to explicitly include, as a basis for disapproval of a plan, that a plan does not contain and reflect a grantee's actual allocation amounts. For further information on potential rulemaking in this area, see HUD's June 3, 2015, Federal Register Notice (80 FR 31538). That document solicited public comments on possible amendments to the Consolidated Plan regulations and the CDBG Entitlement regulations to effect such a change. See in particular Sections II.B.1., II.B.2 and II.B.7 of the Notice, pages 31544 and 31546. (Please note, however, that the public comment period for that Federal Register Notice has closed.)

For further information:

Grantees with questions concerning this Notice should direct their inquiries to their local HUD Field Office Community Planning and Development Division. Field Offices should direct their questions to the following Headquarters program offices as applicable:

Office of Block Grant Assistance at (202) 708-1577 for the Entitlement CDBG program
or (202) 708-1322 for the State CDBG program

Office of Affordable Housing Programs at (202) 708-2684

Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs at (202) 708-4300

Office of HIV/AIDS Housing at (202) 708-1934 or at hopwa@hud.gov

ATTACHMENT A




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
WASHINGTON, DC 20410-7000

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR
COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

SEP 9 2015

MEMORANDUM FOR: All Community Planning and Development Field Office
Division Directors

FROM: Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Community Planning and Development, D 

SUBJECT: Waiver of 24 CFR 570.200(h) regarding FY 2016 Action Plans
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

HUD is issuing revised procedures to govern the submission and review of action plans for FY 2016 funding prior to the enactment of a FY 2016 appropriation bill. Any grantee with a program year start date prior to, or up to 60 days after, HUD's announcement of the FY 2016 formula program funding allocations is advised not to submit its consolidated plan or action plan until the FY 2016 formula allocations have been announced. However, an action plan must be submitted to HUD no later than August 16, 2016.

The likelihood of delays in the receipt of annual appropriations by HUD and implementation of this policy for FY 2016 may have negative consequences for CDBG grantees that intend to incur eligible costs prior to the award of FY 2016 funding. Some activities might otherwise be interrupted while implementing these revised procedures. In addition, grantees might not otherwise be able to use CDBG funds for planning and administrative costs of administering their programs. In order to address communities' needs and to ensure that programs can continue without disturbance, I find that good cause exists pursuant to 24 CFR 5.110 to waive the provisions of 24 CFR 570.200(h) for the Entitlement CDBG program, the Insular Areas CDBG program, and for grants to nonentitlement counties in Hawaii. 24 CFR 570.200(h) is waived to the extent necessary to treat the effective date of the grant agreement as the program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan (with actual allocation amounts) is received by HUD, whichever is *earlier*.

This waiver will apply to any Entitlement, Insular or Hawaii nonentitlement CDBG grantee whose program year start date for FY 2016 funding occurs during the period starting October 1, 2015, and ending August 16, 2016 or 60 days after HUD announcement of FY 2016 allocation amounts for formula program funding (whichever comes first). This waiver is available for use by any applicable CDBG grantee whose action plan submission is delayed past the normal submission date because of delayed enactment of FY 2016 appropriations for the Department. Any affected grantee taking advantage of this waiver shall document in writing the conditions giving rise to the need to utilize this waiver, and shall maintain such documentation for HUD's review. This waiver authority is only in effect until August 16, 2016.

www.hud.gov

espanol@hud.gov

ATTACHMENT B




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
WASHINGTON, DC 20410-7000

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR
COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

JAN 6 2016

MEMORANDUM FOR: All Community Planning and Development Field Office
Division Directors

FROM: Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Community Planning and Development, D 

SUBJECT: Waiver of 24 CFR 92.212(b) regarding FY 2016 Action Plans
HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME) Program

HUD is issuing revised procedures to govern the submission and review of action plans for FY 2016 funding prior to the enactment of an FY 2016 appropriations bill. Any participating jurisdiction with a program year start date prior to, or up to 60 days after, HUD's announcement of the FY 2016 formula program funding allocations is advised not to submit its consolidated plan or action plan until the FY 2016 formula allocations have been announced. However, an action plan must be submitted to HUD no later than August 16, 2016.

The likelihood of delays in the receipt of annual appropriations by HUD and implementation of this policy for FY 2016 may have negative consequences for HOME participating jurisdictions that intend to incur eligible costs prior to the award of FY 2016 funding. Some activities might otherwise be interrupted while implementing these revised procedures. In addition, participating jurisdictions might not otherwise be able to use HOME funds for the planning and administrative costs of their programs. In order to address communities' needs and to ensure that programs can continue without disturbance, I find that good cause exists pursuant to 24 CFR 5.110 to waive the provisions of 24 CFR 92.212(b) for the HOME Program. 24 CFR 92.212(b) is waived to the extent necessary to treat the effective date of the grant agreement as the program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan (with actual allocation amounts) is received by HUD, whichever is earlier.

This waiver will apply to any HOME participating jurisdiction whose program year start date for FY 2016 funding occurs during the period starting October 1, 2015, and ending on the earlier of 60 days after HUD announcement of FY 2016 allocation amounts for formula program funding or August 16, 2016. This waiver is applicable to any HOME participating jurisdiction whose action plan submission is delayed past the normal submission date because of delayed enactment of FY 2016 appropriations for the Department. Any affected participating jurisdiction taking advantage of this waiver shall document in writing the conditions giving rise to the need to utilize this waiver, and shall maintain such documentation for HUD's review. This waiver authority is only in effect until August 16, 2016.

www.hud.gov

espanol.hud.gov

EXHIBIT 2

**HUD NOTIFICATION OF APPROVAL TO EXTEND SUBMITTAL DEADLINE OF THE
2016 CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL ACTION PLAN**



U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Knoxville Field Office, Region IV
John J. Duncan Federal Building
710 Locust Street, Suite 300
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902-2526

February 26, 2016

James Harbison, Executive Director
Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency
701 South Sixth Street
Nashville, Tennessee 37206

Dear Mr. Harbison:

SUBJECT: Notification of Approval to Extend Submittal Deadline of the 2016 Consolidated Annual Action Plan

This letter is in response to the February 25, 2016, letter regarding the above subject matter. It is understood that the Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency (MDHA), as the lead agency of Nashville-Davidson County programs, wanted to provide the public with real budget numbers rather than estimates. To achieve this, MDHA elected to release the Plan for public comment after it was notified of the 2016 allocations. Staff is now updating the Plan with these numbers, and the public comment period will run from March 21 - April 22, 2016. Three public hearings will occur during the comment period.

According to your request letter, the Plan must be approved first by the MDHA Board of Commissioners, then by the Metropolitan Council. The MDHA Board meets the second Tuesday of every month, and the Metro Council meets the first and third Tuesdays of every month. Since the Plan will be open for public comment in March, the soonest the Plan can be presented at a regularly-scheduled MDHA Board meeting is May 10, 2016. Following MDHA Board approval, the Plan will be presented to Metro Council for approval on May 17, 2016. After Metro Council approval, the Mayor will sign the documents and the Plan will be submitted to HUD for approval no later than May 31, 2016.

For these reasons mentioned above, this Office has approved your request to extend the deadline to submit Nashville-Davidson County's 2016 Consolidated Annual Action Plan from February 15, 2016, to May 31, 2016. If you have any questions, please contact Lynn Holt, Senior Community Planning and Development Representative, at (865) 474-8222.

Very sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Mary C. Wilson".

Mary C. Wilson, Director
Office of Community Planning
and Development

cc:

Honorable Megan Barry, Mayor, Metropolitan Government of Nashville – Davidson County
Angela Hubbard, Assistant Director of Community Development

EXHIBIT 3

HUD NOTIFICATION of 2016 ALLOCATIONS



The Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 budget for the Department of Housing and Urban Development has been enacted. These spreadsheets provide full-year allocations for the Office of Community Planning and Development's (CPD) formula programs: Community Development Block Grants (CDBG); HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA); and Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG).

The allocations reflect the level of funding approved for these programs in your community. The amounts also reflect approved grant reductions and include any reallocated funds for the CDBG and HOME programs. Under the CDBG program, some metropolitan cities have a **joint grant agreement** with an urban county to administer their CDBG grant. The urban county amounts shown in this table do not include the funds allocated to any metropolitan city. The metropolitan city and urban county amounts are shown separately.

TN-FY16 ALLOCATIONS							
KEY	CNSRTKEY	NAME	STA	CDBG16	HOME16	HOPWA16	ESG16
471368		Nashville-Davidson	TN	\$4,559,221	\$1,819,757	\$942,082	\$416,420

EXHIBIT 4

GEOGRAPHIC PRIORITIES/PLACE-BASED CENSUS TRACTS

- Qualification as a Tier 1 Area: At least 65% of households in the census tract have incomes at or below 80% of the area median income for Nashville-Davidson County or are part of a Tier 2 Priority Area.
- List of Tier 1 Census Tracts: The following are Tier 1 census tracts. See the directions provided in **EXHIBIT 5** to determine the census tract number for a specific piece of property.

010402	010702	010903	011001	011300	011400	011800	011900
012600	012701	013202	013300	013601	013602	013700	013800
013900	014200	014300	014400	014800	015615	015628	015802
015803	015804	015900	016000	016100	016200	016300	016600
017401	017500	018101	018904	019003	019004	019005	019006
019118	019300	019400					

- List of Tier 2 North Nashville Census Tracts


013601	013602	013700	013800	013900	014200	014300	019400
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

- List of Tier 2 Public Housing Census Tracts

010402	011800	011900	013601	013602	013700	014200
014400	014800	016100	016200	016300	016600	019300
019400						

- Map of Tier 1 Census Tracts: A map of all Tier 1 census tracts is provided on the following page. Maps for each census tract listed in the tables above are provided on subsequent pages in numerical order.
- Additional Census Tract Information: A step-by-step guide for obtaining low-moderate income (LMI) census tract information follows the map section. Please note the following:
 - Census tract information is not available through this application for tracts that are not LMI.
 - Although a census tract may be identified as LMI, it is eligible for Tier 1 programs **only** if it meets the qualification stated above and **specifically listed** as a Tier 1 Area and is eligible as a Tier 2 Area only if it is **specifically listed** above.


CPO Maps - Census Tract 010402



April 9, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 010702



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 011001




April 6, 2015

Override 1

Low Mod Tract




CPO Maps- Census Tract 011300



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 011400



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 011800



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps- Census Tract 011900



April 6, 2015

Override 1


Low Mod Tract

0 0.1 0.2 0.4 mi

0 0.175 0.35 0.7 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 012600



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps- Census Tract 012701



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 013202



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 013300



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 013601



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 013602



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 013700



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 013800



April 6, 2015

Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps - Census Tract 013900



April 6, 2015

Override 1


Low Mod Tract

0 0.1 0.2 0.4 mi

0 0.175 0.35 0.7 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps- Census Tract 014200



April 6, 2015

Override 1


Low Mod Tract

0 0.1 0.2 0.4 mi

0 0.175 0.35 0.7 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps- Census Tract 014300



April 6, 2015

Override 1


Low Mod Tract

0 0.1 0.2 0.4 mi

0 0.175 0.35 0.7 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 014400



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 014800



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 015615



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 015628



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 015802



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 015803



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps - Census Tract 015804




N
;hVille
Int'l
Airport

April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 015900



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps- Census Tract 016000



April 6, 2015

Override 1


Low Mod Tract

0 0.1 0.2 0.4 mi

0 0.175 0.35 0.7 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 016100



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 016200



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 016300



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps- Census Tract 016600



April 9, 2015

Override 1


Low Mod Tract

0 0.225 0.45 0.9 mi

0 0.375 0.75 1.5 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esrt Japan, METI, Esrt China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps- Census Tract 017401



April 6, 2015

Override 1


Low Mod Tract

0 0.1 0.2 0.4 mi

0 0.175 0.35 0.7 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 017500



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 018101



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps - Census Tract 018904



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 019003



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 019004



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 019005



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps - Census Tract 019006



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


CPO Maps- Census Tract 019118



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps - Census Tract 019300



April 6, 2015


Override 1

Low Mod Tract

1:54,974
0 0.475 0.95 1.9 mi
0 0.75 1.5 3 km
Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CPO Maps - Census Tract 019400

W Irtnnty III



Rhoda
Part
P,,r 13rv<1
os;<l

fnr1 jty Ln

StEicy
Prk

r 01r t
Cemet ry

April 9, 2015

Override 1

Low Mod Tract


0 0.475 1.54,974 1.9 mi

0 0.75 1.5 3 km


Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Additional Census Tract Information


1. Go to: <http://egis.hud.gov/cpdmaps/>.
2. In the search box, type Nashville, TN and hit “Enter”.




3. Under “Select a Grantee then click Finish”, select Nashville-Davidson CDBG. Click “Finish”.




4. A map of Davidson County will appear. Close the “Grantee Summary” box.




5. Click on the “Layers” icon on the far left. In the box that appears, select “Boundaries”.




6. Under “Boundaries”, select “Other”.



7. Under “Other”, select “Low-Mod Census Tracts”. *Note: Use the scale on the far left side of the page to adjust the size of the map.*



- To get specific information about a census tract that has a green border, place your cursor inside the tract's border and click. Information about the tract will appear in a pop-up window.




- For more information about this mapping tool, go to: <https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/CPD-Maps-Desk-Guide.pdf>.


EXHIBIT 5

HOW TO DETERMINE YOUR CENSUS TRACT


1. Go to: <http://www.nashville.gov/Planning-Department/Mapping-and-GIS/PropertyMapping.aspx>.
2. Click on: **Metro Maps** in the sentence that says, “Go to **Metro Maps**”.




3. In the drop-down box under Select Search Criteria, select Address.




4. Enter your address, and then click on Search.



5. Under Search Results, click on the highlighted parcel number. *NOTE: The address for MDHA's central office is used as an example.*



- Under View More Parcel Data, scroll down until you find the Census Tract. The **last six numbers** identify your Census Tract.



- If you need assistance, contact the Community Development Department at 615-252-8505.