METROPOLITAN NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

# **EXHIBITS**

# 2017-2018 ANNUAL UPDATE TO THE 2013-2018 CONSOLIDATED PLAN FOR HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

## **PROGRAM YEAR FIVE**

# For the period June 1, 2017 – May 31, 2018

## Prepared by:

Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency 701 South Sixth Street Nashville, Tennessee 37206



Updated June 2017

### 2017-2018 Annual Update to the 2013-2018 Consolidated Plan

### (2017 Action Plan) Program Year Five

### **EXHIBITS**

Exhibit 1 – CPD Notice 16-18, HUD Guidance on Submitting Consolidated Plans and Annual Updates for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017

- Exhibit 2 HUD Approval to Change Consolidated Plan Program Year
- Exhibit 3 Notice of 2017 Allocations

### **Exhibit 4 – Geographic Priorities/Place-Based Census Tracts**

**Exhibit 5 - Determining a Census Tract Number** 

### EXHIBIT 1

### **CPD NOTICE 16-18**

### GUIDANCE ON SUBMITTING CONSOLIDATED PLANS AND ANNUAL ACTION PLANS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017



#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-7000

Special Attention of: All CPD Division Directors HUD Field Offices HUD Regional Offices All CDBG Grantees All HOME Participating Jurisdictions All HTF Grantees All ESG -Grantees All HOPWA - Grantees

#### NOTICE: CPD-16-18

Issued: December 15, 2016 Expires: September 30, 2017

Cross Reference: 24 CFR Part 91

Subject: Guidance on Submitting Consolidated Plans and Annual Action Plans for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017

#### Purpose:

The purpose of this Notice is to instruct all Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Housing Trust Fund (HTF), Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) grantees on the timing of submission of FY 2017 consolidated plans and action plans. This Notice provides instructions to grantees/participating jurisdictions under each of these programs regarding costs incurred prior to execution of a grant agreement. This Notice further informs Entitlement CDBG grantees and HOME participating jurisdictions of waivers being made available to certain grantees/participating jurisdictions to assist in the implementation of the pre-award costs instructions. These procedures apply equally to grantees' 3-5 year consolidated plans as well as to annual action plans (either as a stand-alone document or as a component of the overall consolidated plan submission).

#### Notes regarding applicability:

This Notice uses the term "grantee" generically, to also include HOME participating jurisdictions, except where the term appears in discussions explicitly limited to one of the other covered funding programs. Provisions of this Notice covering the Entitlement CDBG program also apply to Insular Areas grantees and CDBG nonentitlement county grantees in Hawaii, as the Entitlement CDBG program regulations also apply to their CDBG funds.

#### Background:

Pursuant to 24 CFR 91.15(a)(1), each jurisdiction should submit its consolidated plan to HUD at least 45 days before the start of its program year. The earliest date on which HUD will accept a consolidated plan or action plan submission is November 15, 2016; and the latest submission deadline is August 16, 2017. However, in most years, HUD does not receive its annual funding appropriation until several months into the federal fiscal year (rarely earlier than December,

www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Metro Nashville 2017 Action Plan

and sometimes as late as April). Once a fiscal year's appropriation is enacted, HUD needs time to compute grantees' allocation amounts for the programs covered by the consolidated plan. The Housing Trust Fund (HTF) Program is funded by the mandatory assessments on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac -Government-Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs) and the assessments will not be transferred to the U.S. Treasury Department until March 2017. When appropriations are significantly delayed, grantees with program year start dates prior to the announcement of allocations do not know their actual allocation amounts when they are developing their plans, conducting citizen participation, and submitting their plans.

According to 24 CFR 91.500(a), a plan will be deemed approved 45 days after HUD receives the plan, unless HUD notifies the jurisdiction before that date that the plan is disapproved. In past years, HUD typically did not disapprove a plan solely because it was based on estimated allocation amounts. As a result, a plan submitted by a grantee before its allocation amounts are announced typically received automatic approval, even though the plan did not list the grantee's actual allocation amounts.

This practice resulted in significant additional work for both HUD and grantees. After the actual allocation amounts were announced, a grantee had to submit a revised, re-signed SF-424 form listing the actual allocation amounts for each of its grants. In many cases, the grantee had to make additional changes to amend its plan to reflect its actual allocation amounts. This may have constituted a substantial amendment under 24 CFR 91.505, which is subject to the grantee's citizen participation plan process. For FY 2017, HUD will not execute a grant agreement with a grantee until HUD has received a plan (or an amended plan) which incorporates the actual allocation amounts a grantee is to receive for that fiscal year.

#### Revised Procedures for Submission of FY 2017 Consolidated Plans and Action Plans by Grantees with Early Program Year Start Dates:

HUD is issuing the following revised procedures to govern the submission and review of consolidated plans and action plans for FY 2017 funding prior to computation of FY 2017 allocation amounts. These procedures will apply to any grantee whose normal consolidated plan/action plan submission deadline (45 days before the start of the program year) falls either before, or less than 60 days after, the date HUD announces FY 2017 allocation amounts for CDBG, ESG, HOME and HOPWA funding. (See Section II. for a discussion of the timing of Housing Trust Fund allocations.)

Congress has not completed the appropriations process for HUD's FY2017 appropriation. At this time, HUD cannot predict when its FY 2017 appropriations bill will be enacted and when it will be able to announce FY 2017 allocation amounts. Thus, HUD cannot say how many grantees – or which program year start dates – will be subject to these revised procedures. Similarly, HUD cannot provide estimated FY 2017 allocation amounts for grantees to use for planning purposes in developing annual action plans.

Note: These procedures will not apply to grantees whose normal consolidated plan/action plan submission deadline is more than 60 days after HUD announcement of FY 2017 allocation

amounts; those grantees should have sufficient time to revise their plans to match actual allocation amounts prior to the due date for their plan.

#### I. <u>Revised Submission Dates for FY 2017 Action Plans for CDBG, ESG, HOME,</u> <u>HOPWA</u>

Grantees are advised not to submit their consolidated plan/action plan until after the FY 2017 allocations have been announced. Grantees due to submit a new 3-5-year consolidated plan in FY 2017 should refrain from submitting the overall consolidated plan as well as the FY 2017 action plan contained within the overall document. HUD cannot complete its review of the overall consolidated plan components independent of the current year's action plan component. Once HUD informs grantees of their FY 2017 funding allocation amounts, each grantee should, prior to submission, ensure that the actual FY 2017 allocation amounts are reflected in the form SF-424, in the description of resources and objectives, and in the description of activities to be undertaken (or, for states, the method of distribution). It may be necessary for a grantee to revise its action plan before submission to HUD.

An affected grantee may delay submission of its consolidated plan or action plan to HUD until 60 days after the date allocations are announced, or until August 16, 2017 (whichever comes first). This delay will give a grantee time to revise its action plan to incorporate actual allocation amounts, and to conduct any additional citizen participation, if necessary.

For example, if HUD were to announce the FY 2017 allocation amounts to grantees on April 28, 2017:

- For grantees with January 1 June 1 program year start dates, their normal plan submission date would have been before the date that HUD announced allocation amounts. These grantees would be able to postpone submission of their consolidated plan/action plan until June 26, 2017.
- For grantees with July 1 and August 1 program year start dates, their normal plan submission date would be less than 60 days after HUD's announcement of allocation amounts. These grantees would also be able to postpone submission of their consolidated plan/action plan until June 26, 2017.
- Grantees with September 1 and October 1 program year start dates would have more than 60 days between the date of announcement of allocations and the normal submission deadline for their consolidated plan/action plan. These grantees would be expected to submit their plan on time.

However, in no case may a consolidated plan/action plan be submitted to HUD later than August 16, 2017. Failure to submit an action plan for FY 2017 by August 16, 2017, will result in the automatic loss of FY 2017 CDBG funds to the grantee. This requirement is established by statute, and HUD cannot waive the August 16 submission deadline. Funding under other CPD programs are not subject to this deadline but, since virtually all CPD grantees receive CDBG

funding, the CDBG submission requirement effectively establishes the deadline for submission of action plans.

The regulations, at 24 CFR 91.15(a)(1), state that "...each jurisdiction should submit its consolidated plan at least 45 days before the start of its program year." HUD has determined that it is not necessary to waive this provision in order to implement the procedures in this Notice for FY 2017 action plans. This provision does not prohibit a grantee from submitting a plan in the eCon Planning Suite after that time. It is not necessary for an affected grantee to request an exception to its normal action plan submission date under 24 CFR 91.15(a)(1), nor is it necessary for a field office to grant an exception to the action plan submission deadlines, under 24 CFR 91.20, in order to implement the procedures in this Notice.

#### II. Submission Process for the HTF Program

The Housing Trust Fund (HTF) is an affordable housing production program to increase and preserve the supply of decent, safe, and sanitary affordable housing for extremely low-income and very low-income families. HTF is a formula grant program for states.

The HTF rule at 24 CFR 93.100 requires each state to include its HTF allocation plan in its annual action plan as described at 24 CFR 91.320(k)(5). The HTF allocation plan describes the method for the distribution of funds, and establishes the application requirements and criteria for selecting applications. The rule also requires a local jurisdiction that receives a subgrant of HTF funds from the state to include a HTF allocation plan (24 CFR 91.220(l)(5)) in its annual action plan, but due to the timing of the publication of HTF allocations, the local jurisdiction may need to amend its annual action plan to include HTF.

The timing of the HTF allocations is different from other CPD programs (CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG) because the source of funding is the mandatory assessments on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac rather than Federal appropriations. The earliest HUD expects to publish the HTF allocations is April 2017. This will likely be well after the date that allocations for other CPD programs will be announced. A state may submit its consolidated plan/action plan for the other CPD programs before the HTF allocations are published and then submit its HTF allocation plan as a substantial amendment to its annual action plan, after the HTF allocations are published.

The state or the entity designated by the state to be the HTF grantee may begin to develop its HTF allocation plan following its citizen participation process in accordance with its consolidated plan citizen participation plan before the publication of the HTF allocations. However, the state may not submit its HTF allocation plan before its HTF allocation has been published. HUD recommends the state use its 2016 HTF allocation as a baseline allocation estimate when conducting citizen participation.

#### III. HUD Review of Action Plans

HUD will review a consolidated plan/action plan in accordance with 24 CFR 91.500(b). The 45-day review period will begin whenever the eCon Planning Suite submission or executed SF-424(s) are received by the field office, whichever is later. HUD will disapprove as substantially

incomplete any consolidated plan or action plan covering FY 2017 funding that does not reflect actual CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA allocation amounts on the form SF-424(s), in the description of resources and objectives, and in the description of activities to be undertaken (or, for states, the method of distribution). A grantee whose action plan is disapproved for this reason is advised to not resubmit a revised plan until HUD has announced the actual FY 2017 allocation amounts, and until the grantee has incorporated the actual allocation amounts into its plan. (See Section II. regarding including the HTF program in an original or amended consolidated plan/action plan.)

24 CFR 91.500(b) states HUD may disapprove a plan or a portion of a plan if it is inconsistent with the purpose of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 12703), if it is substantially incomplete, or, in the case of a CDBG certification under §91.225(a) and (b) or §91.325(a) and (b), if it is not satisfactory to the Secretary in accordance with §570.304, §570.429(g), or §570.485(c). The following are examples of substantially incomplete plans:

(1) A plan developed without the required citizen participation or the required consultation;

(2) A plan fails to satisfy all the required elements in 24 CFR Part 91, as reflected in the eCon Planning Suite. This includes when the grantee has not provided a final statement of community development objectives and the projected use of funds;

(3) A plan for which a certification is rejected by HUD as inaccurate; and

(4) A plan without a description of the manner in which the unit of general local government or state will provide financial or other assistance to a public housing agency if the public housing agency is designated as "troubled" by HUD.

24 CFR 91.500(d) states that "(t)he jurisdiction may revise or resubmit a plan within 45 days after the first notification of disapproval." HUD has determined that it is not necessary to waive this provision in order to implement the procedures in this Notice for FY 2017 consolidated plans/action plans. This provision does not prohibit a grantee from re-submitting a plan after that time period.

24 CFR 91.105(c), 91.115(c) and 91.505 require a grantee to comply with citizen participation requirements when it undertakes a substantial amendment to an <u>approved</u> plan. A plan that has been disapproved by HUD is, by definition, not an approved plan. When a grantee's plan is disapproved by HUD, the consolidated plan regulations do not necessarily require a grantee to undertake further citizen participation on the changes the grantee makes before re-submitting it. (A major exception to this, however, would be if the reason for disapproval involved the grantee's failure to fulfill citizen participation requirements to begin with.) However, as noted in this Notice, there are circumstances in which a grantee may need to make major revisions to a disapproved plan, which could trigger further citizen participation efforts. A grantee with a disapproved plan should review its citizen participation plan and local policies to determine whether it will need to conduct further citizen participation as a result of the changes it makes to incorporate actual allocation amounts into its plan, prior to re-submission of the revised plan.

#### IV. <u>Development of Proposed Action Plans and Citizen Participation During The</u> Interim

A grantee has several options regarding fulfilling its citizen participation obligations while waiting for HUD to announce FY 2017 allocation amounts:

a. A grantee may conduct citizen participation on its draft plan (with estimated funding amounts) according to its normal timetable and citizen participation procedures. (Grantees are cautioned, though, that they should not submit their plan until allocation amounts are known.) A grantee doing so should make clear that the funding levels shown are estimated amounts. In addition, the grantee should include "contingency provision" language in its action plan which explains how it will adjust its proposed plan to match its actual allocation amounts, once actual amounts become known. By including such contingency language, a grantee can avoid the need to make significant revisions to its plan (beyond incorporating the final allocation amounts into the plan and the SF-424 form). The grantee may also avoid the potential need to conduct additional citizen participation on a plan that has to be significantly revised in order to reflect actual allocation amounts.

Examples of contingency provisions include:

- A plan could state that all proposed activities' budgets will be proportionally increased or decreased from the estimated funding levels to match actual allocation amounts.
- A grantee could express its budget in terms of percentages of the allocation to be budgeted to each planned activity, along with the grantee's current estimate of how many dollars that equates to for each activity. [For example, regardless of what the final allocation amounts are, the United Interfaith Street Outreach Program will receive 22% (currently estimated to be approximately \$38,000) of the grantee's total ESG allocation, and the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance activity will receive 10% (currently estimated to be about \$68,750) of the HOME allocation.]
- A plan could state that any increase or decrease in funding to match actual allocation amounts will be applied to one or more specific activities (e.g., any increase or decrease relative to the grantee's estimated allocation amount will be applied to the single family housing rehabilitation grant program).
- A plan could list its proposed activities in priority order and indicate that the East Side Sidewalk Replacement activity listed in the plan is a "backup" activity that will be funded only if sufficient CDBG funding exists; or conversely, if the grantee's actual allocation is less than estimated, the East Side Sidewalk Replacement activity will not be funded in FY 2017.
- A plan could state that, should the actual allocation amount exceed the grantee's estimate, the grantee will increase the Uptown Sewer Separation activity budget and will extend the service area block-by-block along the 600-900 blocks of Cherry Street, based on the amount of additional funding available.

A grantee may include these or other comparable provisions singly or in any combination to meet its needs. A grantee may adopt a different contingency approach for each of the programs covered by this Notice (CDBG, ESG, HOME, HOPWA and HTF).

b. Alternatively, a grantee may prepare a proposed action plan according to its normal timetable, but wait until actual allocation amounts are known before undertaking citizen participation actions. Once allocation amounts are announced by HUD, the grantee will need to update relevant sections of its plan (such as the listings of resources and objectives, and the description of activities or the state's method of distribution) to reflect actual allocation amounts before conducting citizen participation. All grantees intending to incur pre-award costs under the programs covered by this Notice should be aware that this option will not be available to them, as citizen participation requirements must be met before pre-award costs are incurred. (See section V. below.)

HUD has developed these procedures to minimize disruption to grantees and to minimize duplication of effort on grantees' part. A grantee that does not follow either option a. or b. above (i.e., the grantee undertakes citizen participation according to its normal timetable, based solely on estimated funding levels, and the plan does not contain any contingency language on how the final plan will be adjusted to match actual allocation amounts) runs the risk of increasing its own work obligations and costs. The grantee will still be required to update its plan to incorporate actual allocation amounts before submission to HUD. The grantee may need to undertake additional publication and citizen participation processes, depending on the difference between its actual allocation amounts and the estimated amounts in its proposed action plan, and how the grantee plans to adjust its proposed activities in order to match its actual allocation amounts. Local policies and procedures may also require the grantee to obtain re-approval of the revised plan from its legislative body or authorizing officials.

A plan that has not yet been submitted to HUD is also not an <u>approved</u> plan, and is not subject to the citizen participation requirements of a substantial amendment. However, a grantee that delays its plan submission should review its citizen participation plan and local policies to determine whether it will need to conduct further citizen participation as a result of the changes it makes to incorporate actual allocation amounts into its plan, prior to its submission.

#### V. <u>Pre-Award Costs</u>

#### A. General Provisions applicable to all Consolidated Plan programs

Special attention must be paid to situations in which a grantee wishes to incur costs prior to grant award. For example, under certain programs, a grantee may want to execute annual renewals of agreements with social service providers in order to prevent interruption of social services. The annual performance cycle of these agreements might normally begin after the grantee's official program year start date but, under this Notice the grantee cannot even submit its Action Plan until after the date that the agreements need to be executed. Thus, the timing instructions in this Notice may cause some program costs to be classified as pre-award costs where they would otherwise not have been.

The government-wide Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements regulation, at 2 CFR Part 200, contains language concerning agency approval of preaward costs. The language at 2 CFR 200.458 applies to any program that does not have its own separate provisions concerning authorization of pre-award costs:

§ 200.458. Pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the Federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the Federal award and only with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency.

The ESG, HOPWA and HTF program regulations do not contain program-specific language establishing pre-award cost requirements for those programs; the CDBG and HOME program regulations do contain pre-award cost requirements unique to those programs. (However, the HOME requirements apply only to certain types of pre-award costs.) The following guidance applies to all five programs. Additionally, guidance unique to each separate program is provided below.

The Part 91 consolidated plan regulations make distinctions between a "proposed plan" and "a plan". Most notably, 24 CFR 91.105(b) and 91.115(b) describe the citizen participation requirements for a grantee's proposed plan. For purposes of this Notice regarding pre-award costs, HUD considers a grantee's plan to have moved from being "a proposed plan" to being "a plan" once a grantee has completed the publication, public hearing and public comment requirements at 24 CFR 91.105(b)(2), (3) and (4) or 91.115(b)(2), (3) and (4), and has developed its written summary of comments received pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105(b)(5) or 91.115(b)(5).

To minimize additional workload on grantees and HUD field offices, this Notice establishes the following procedures implementing the 2 CFR 200.458 requirements cited above. This Notice provides HUD approval to incur pre-award costs if and when the grantee completes the following documentation in its local files:

- The grantee documents that the costs incurred prior to grant award are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the activity in question.
- The grantee documents that the costs are for eligible activities under the regulations for the applicable funding program;
- 3. The grantee documents that the grantee has complied with all other requirements for preaward costs under the regulations for the applicable funding program or as described below;
- 4. The activity for which costs will be incurred is included in a consolidated plan/action plan;
- The grantee documents completion of its citizen participation process by including in its files a written, dated summary of citizen participation comments received on its plan, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105(b)(5) or 91.115(b)(5) as applicable.

If the grantee's files contain all other necessary documentation supporting the costs (described below for each program), the date of HUD approval for pre-award costs is the date of the written summary of citizen participation comments, or the grantee's program year start date, whichever is later.

B. Additional Provisions: Entitlement CDBG Program

The Entitlement CDBG program regulations specify, at 24 CFR 570.200(h), the situations under which a grantee may incur costs prior to the effective date of its grant agreement. The provisions of this Notice will affect how grantees comply with the pre-award cost reimbursement requirements.

- 24 CFR 570.200(h) defines the effective date of a grantee's agreement as the grantee's program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan is received by HUD (whichever is <u>later</u>). Under the provisions of this Notice, a grantee's action plan may not be submitted to (and thus received by) HUD until several months after the grantee's program year start date. This may negatively affect grantees' ability to incur pre-award costs.
- 2. Therefore, HUD has issued a waiver of 24 CFR 570.200(h) to the extent necessary to implement the following requirement: the effective date of a grantee's FY 2017 grant agreement will be considered to be the <u>earlier</u> of the grantee's program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan (with actual allocation amounts) is received by HUD. Attachment A contains the HUD memorandum authorizing this waiver. This waiver is applicable to any Entitlement CDBG grantee seeking to incur pre-award costs, whose action plan submission is delayed past the normal submission date because of delayed enactment of FY 2017 appropriations for the Department. An affected community applying this waiver shall document in writing the conditions giving rise to the need to use this waiver, and maintain the documentation for HUD's review. Grantees' authority to make use of this waiver is only in effect until August 16, 2017, as that is the last date that a grantee may submit its FY 2017 action plan.
- 3. 24 CFR 570.200(h)(1)(i) requires that the activity for which the costs are being incurred must be included in a consolidated plan/action plan prior to the costs being incurred; grantee compliance with steps 4 and 5 under the general pre-award cost provisions above will meet that requirement. However, grantees must also comply with §570.200(h)(1)(ii), which further specifies that the plan must advise citizens of the extent to which the pre-award costs will affect future grants. CDBG grantees intending to incur pre-award costs are cautioned that option b. described in Section IV above is not likely to be a feasible alternative for them. HUD advises any Entitlement CDBG grantee intending to incur pre-award costs to follow the process described in Section IV. a. above; in doing so, the grantee will need to ensure that it has met the citizen participation and notification requirements above.
- Pursuant to § 570.200(h)(1)(iii) and § 570.604, the costs and corresponding activities must comply with the environmental review requirements at 24 CFR Part 58.

#### C. Additional Provisions: HOME Program

The HOME regulations specify situations under which a grantee may incur costs prior to the effective date of its grant agreement. The provisions of this Notice will affect how grantees comply with the pre-award cost reimbursement requirements.

- 1. 24 CFR 92.212(b) defines the effective date for incurring administrative and planning costs to be charged to the HOME allocation as the beginning of the participating jurisdiction's consolidated program year or the date that the consolidated plan is received by HUD (whichever is <u>later</u>). Under the provisions of this Notice, a participating jurisdiction's action plan may not be submitted to (and thus received by) HUD until several months after the PJ's program year start date. This may negatively affect a participating jurisdiction's ability to incur planning and administrative pre-award costs. Therefore, HUD has issued a waiver of 24 CFR 92.212(b) to the extent necessary to implement an alternative requirement: the effective date of a grantee's FY 2017 grant agreement will be considered to be the <u>earlier</u> of the participating jurisdiction's program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan (with actual allocation amounts) is received by HUD.
- 2. Attachment B contains the HUD memorandum authorizing this waiver. This waiver is applicable to any HOME participating jurisdiction seeking to incur pre-award administrative and planning costs, whose action plan submission is delayed past the normal submission date because of delayed enactment of FY 2017 appropriations for the Department. An affected participating jurisdiction applying this waiver shall document in writing the conditions giving rise to the need to use this waiver, and maintain the documentation for HUD's review. A participating jurisdiction's authority to make use of this waiver is only in effect until August 16, 2017, as that is the last date that a grantee may submit its FY 2017 action plan.
- 3. The HOME regulations already provide a participating jurisdiction with the authority to incur certain project costs. Eligible project costs may be incurred during the current program year in an amount not to exceed 25% of the current HOME allocation amount, to be charged after the award of the HOME allocation, provided the costs are in compliance with the requirements of the HOME Program. Before incurring the pre-award costs, the participating jurisdiction must comply with its citizen participation plan requirements.
- 4. 24 CFR 92.212(c) requires that the project costs that are being incurred must be included in a consolidated plan/action plan prior to the costs being incurred; compliance with steps 4 and 5 under the general pre-award cost provisions above will meet that requirement. These provisions must also be met if the participating jurisdiction elects to develop a mini-action plan pursuant to § 92.212(c).
- D. Additional Provisions: ESG Program

An ESG grantee is permitted to incur pre-award costs against its FY 2017 grant, provided that the grantee has met the general conditions described above, plus the following conditions:

- The costs and corresponding activities must comply with the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program regulations at 24 CFR Part 576.
- The costs and corresponding activities must comply with environmental review requirements. The "Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act" (MAP-21), (Public Law 112-141) made several changes to HUD's homeless assistance programs,

<sup>10</sup> 

including authorization to permit recipients and other responsible entities to assume HUD environmental review responsibilities in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. Initially, for FY 2012 ESG funds, ESG projects were subject to the environmental review procedures under 24 CFR Part 50, which assigns HUD all environmental review responsibilities due to the HEARTH Act's repeal of Section 443 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act. Now, recipients or other Responsible Entities assume environmental review responsibilities under 24 CFR Part 58.

E. Additional Provisions: HOPWA Program

A HOPWA grantee is permitted to incur pre-award costs against its FY 2017 grant, provided that the grantee has met the general conditions described above, plus the following conditions:

- The costs are incurred for eligible activities undertaken in accordance with HOPWA program regulations at 24 CFR Part 574.
- The costs and corresponding activities must comply with environmental review requirements at 24 CFR 574.510.
- F. Additional Provisions: HTF Program

HUD is authorizing HTF grantees to incur pre-award costs permitted under 2 CFR 200.458 for planning activities and preparation of the HTF allocation plan. Eligible pre-award costs may include the cost of public hearings, consultations, and publication of public notices, as well as developing program guidelines. Pre-award costs may not exceed 5% of the minimum allocation amount of \$3 million. This is one half of the grant amount that the state may use for administration and planning in accordance with 24 CFR 93.202, based on receiving a minimum grant amount. The costs and corresponding activities must comply with the environmental review requirements at 24 CFR 93.301(f).

#### VI. Applicability of This Notice to Future Years

This Notice only applies to consolidated plans/action plans submitted for FY 2017 funding on or before August 16, 2017, or 60 days after HUD announcement of the FY 2017 allocation amounts for CDBG, ESG, HOME and HOPWA funding (whichever is earlier). HUD anticipates revising the Consolidated Plan regulations (and other related regulations) to explicitly include, as a basis for disapproval of a plan, that a plan does not contain and reflect a grantee's actual allocation amounts. For further information on potential rulemaking in this area, see HUD's June 3, 2015, Federal Register Notice (80 FR 31538). That document solicited public comments on possible amendments to the Consolidated Plan regulations and the CDBG Entitlement regulations to effect such a change. See in particular Sections II.B.1., II.B.2 and II.B.7 of the Notice, pages 31544 and 31546. (Please note, however, that the public comment period for that Federal Register Notice has closed.)

#### For further information:

Grantees with questions concerning this Notice should direct their inquiries to their local HUD Field Office Community Planning and Development Division. Field Offices should direct their questions to the following Headquarters program offices as applicable:

Office of Block Grant Assistance at (202) 708-1577 for the Entitlement CDBG program or (202) 708-1322 for the State CDBG program

Office of Affordable Housing Programs at (202) 708-2684

Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs at (202) 708-4300

Office of HIV/AIDS Housing at (202) 708-1934 or at hopwa@hud.gov

#### ATTACHMENT A



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-7000

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT OCT 1 4 2015

MEMORANDUM FOR:	All Community Planning and Development Field Office Division Directors
FROM:	Directors Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, D
SUBJECT:	Waiver of 24 CFR 570.200(h) regarding FY 2017 Action Plans Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

HUD is issuing revised procedures to govern the submission and review of action plans for FY 2017 funding prior to the enactment of a FY 2017 appropriation bill. Any grantee with a program year start date prior to, or up to 60 days after, HUD's announcement of the FY 2017 formula program funding allocations is advised not to submit its consolidated plan or action plan until the FY 2017 formula allocations have been announced. However, an action plan must be submitted to HUD no later than August 16, 2017.

The likelihood of delays in the receipt of annual appropriations by HUD and implementation of this policy for FY 2017 may have negative consequences for CDBG grantees that intend to incur eligible costs prior to the award of FY 2017 funding. Some activities might otherwise be interrupted while implementing these revised procedures. In addition, grantees might not otherwise be able to use CDBG funds for planning and administrative costs of administering their programs. In order to address communities' needs and to ensure that programs can continue without disturbance, I find that good cause exists pursuant to 24 CFR 5.110 to waive the provisions of 24 CFR 570.200(h) for the Entitlement CDBG program, the Insular Areas CDBG program, and for grants to non-entitlement counties in Hawaii. 24 CFR 570.200(h) is waived to the extent necessary to treat the effective date of the grant agreement as the program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan (with actual allocation amounts) is received by HUD, whichever comes first.

This waiver will apply to any Entitlement, Insular or Hawaii non-entitlement CDBG grantees whose program year start date for FY 2017 funding occurs during the period starting October 1, 2016, and ending August 16, 2017 or 60 days after HUD's announcement of FY 2017 allocation amounts for formula program funding (whichever comes first). The waiver is available for use by any applicable CDBG grantee whose action plan submission is delayed past the normal submission date because of delayed enactment of FY 2017 appropriations for the Department. Any affected grantee taking advantage of this waiver shall document in writing the conditions giving rise to the need to utilize this waiver, and shall maintain such documentation for HUD's review. This waiver authority is only in effect until August 16, 2017.

#### ATTACHMENT B



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-7000

OCT 1 4 2015

MEMORANDUM FOR:	All Community Planning and Development Field Office Division
	Directors Lutter Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for
FROM:	Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of
	Community Planning and Development, D
SUBJECT:	Waiver of 24 CFR 92.212(b) regarding FY 2017 Action Plans
	HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME) Program

HUD is issuing revised procedures to govern the submission and review of action plans for FY 2017 funding prior to the enactment of a FY 2017 appropriation bill. Any participating jurisdiction with a program year start date prior to, or up to 60 days after, HUD's announcement of the FY 2017 funding allocations is advised not to submit its consolidated plan or action plan until the FY 2017 allocations have been announced. However, an action plan must be submitted to HUD no later than August 16, 2017.

The likelihood of delays in the receipt of annual appropriations by HUD and implementation of this policy for FY 2017 may have negative consequences for HOME participating jurisdictions that intend to incur eligible costs prior to the award of FY 2017 funding. Some activities might otherwise be interrupted while implementing these revised procedures. In addition, participating jurisdictions might not otherwise be able to use HOME funds for planning and administrative costs of administering their programs. In order to address communities' needs and to ensure that programs can continue without disturbance, I find that good cause exists pursuant to 24 CFR 5.110 to waive the provisions of 24 CFR 92.212(b) for the HOME Program. 24 CFR 92.212 (b) is waived to the extent necessary to treat the effective date of the grant agreement as the program year start date or the date that the consolidated plan/action plan (with actual allocation amounts) is received by HUD, whichever comes first.

This waiver will apply to any HOME participating jurisdiction whose program year start date for FY 2017 funding occurs during the period starting October 1, 2016, and ending August 16, 2017 or 60 days after HUD's announcement of FY 2017 allocation amounts for program funding (whichever comes first). The waiver is available for use by any applicable HOME participating jurisdiction whose action plan submission is delayed past the normal submission date because of delayed enactment of FY 2017 appropriations for the Department. Any affected participating jurisdiction taking advantage of this waiver shall document in writing the conditions giving rise to the need to utilize this waiver, and shall maintain such documentation for HUD's review. This waiver authority is only in effect until August 16, 2017.

14

### **EXHIBIT 2**

### HUD NOTIFICATION OF APPROVAL TO CHANGE CONSOLIDATED PLAN PROGRAM YEAR



#### U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Knoxville Field Office, Region IV John J. Duncan Federal Building 710 Locust Street, Suite 300 Knoxville, Tennessee 37902-2526

December 21, 2016

James Harbison, Executive Director Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency 701 South Sixth Street Nashville, Tennessee 37206

Dear Mr. Harbison:

SUBJECT: Consolidated Plan - Request to Change Program Year

This letter is in response to the December 2, 2016, letter regarding the above subject matter. In the letter, the Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency (MDHA), as the lead agency of Nashville - Davidson County Consolidated Planning programs, has requested to change the beginning date for Program Year 2017 to June 1, 2017 and all subsequent program years to June 1. This of course, also includes a request to extend the Program Year 2016 to May 31, 2017.

This Office has reviewed the justification provided to support the request and the request has been approved. We acknowledge, as noted in your letter, that the finalization of annual formula allocation amounts has usually occurred in the spring of each year, which has adversely affected grantees that begin their program years during that time. Also, the program year change should allow your staff more time in completing the Assessment of Fair Housing.

If you have any questions, please contact Lynn Holt, Senior Community Planning and Development Representative, at (865) 474-8222.

Very sincerely yours,

Mary C. Wilson, Director Office of Community Planning

and Development

cc:

Honorable Megan Barry, Mayor

Metropolitan Government of Nashville – Davidson County Angela Hubbard, Assistant Director of Community Development

### **EXHIBIT 3**

### **HUD NOTIFICATION of 2017 ALLOCATIONS**

The following was published on the HUD.gov website on June 14, 2017.

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 budget for the Department of Housing and Urban Development has been enacted. These spreadsheets provide full-year allocations for the Office of Community Planning and Development's (CPD) formula programs: Community Development Block Grants (CDBG); HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA); and Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG).

The allocations reflect the level of funding approved for these programs in your community. The amounts also reflect approved grant reductions and include any reallocated funds for the CDBG and HOME programs. Under the CDBG program, some metropolitan cities have a **joint grant agreement** with an urban county to administer their CDBG grant. The urban county amounts shown in this table do not include the funds allocated to any metropolitan city. The metropolitan city and urban county amounts are shown separately.

TN-FY17 ALLOCATIONS							
KEY	CNSRTKEY	NAME	STA	CDBG17	HOME17	HOPWA17	ESG17
		Nashville-					
471368		Davidson	TN	\$4,637,909	\$1,881,838	\$1,073,473	\$410,455

### EXHIBIT 4

### GEOGRAPHIC PRIORITIES/PLACE-BASED CENSUS TRACTS

- <u>Qualification as a Tier 1 Area</u>: At least 65% of households in the census tract have incomes at or below 80% of the area median income for Nashville-Davidson County or are part of a Tier 2 Priority Area.
- <u>List of Tier 1 Census Tracts</u>: The following are Tier 1 census tracts. See the directions provided in **EXHIBIT 5** to determine the census tract number for a specific piece of property.

010402	010702	010903	011001	011300	011400	011800	011900
012600	012701	013202	013300	013601	013602	013700	013800
013900	014200	014300	014400	014800	015615	015628	015802
015803	015804	015900	016000	016100	016200	016300	016600
017401	017500	018101	018904	019003	019004	019005	019006
019118	019300	019400					


• List of Tier 2 North Nashville Census Tracts

013601	013602	013700	013800	013900	014200	014300	019400
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

List of Tier 2 Public Housing Census Tracts

010402	011800	011900	013601	013602	013700	014200
014400	014800	016100	016200	016300	016600	019300
019400				·		

- <u>Map of Tier 1 Census Tracts</u>: A map of all Tier 1 census tracts is provided on the following page. Maps for each census tract listed in the tables above are provided on subsequent pages in numerical order.
- <u>Additional Census Tract Information</u>: A step-by-step guide for obtaining lowmoderate income (LMI) census tract information follows the map section. Please note the following:
  - Census tract information is not available through this application for tracts that are not LMI.
  - Although a census tract may be identified as LMI, it is eligible for Tier 1 programs only if it meets the qualification stated above and specifically listed as a Tier 1 Area and is eligible as a Tier 2 Area only if it is specifically listed above.



April 9, 2015

**Override 1** 


Low Mod Tract

 1:27,487

 0
 0.225
 0.45
 0.9 mi

 0
 0.375
 0.75
 1.5 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km

0.45


0.75

0

0

0.225

0.375




	0	0.225	1:27,487 0.45	0.9 mi
	0	0.375	0.75	 1.5 km
	Esrt Japar	, METI, Esri	rme, USGS, Intermap, in	crement P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, GIS User Community


April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract







April	6,	2015
-------	----	------

**Override 1** 

0 0.375 0.75 1.5 km

0.9 mi


Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

0.45

0

0.225

Low Mod Tract



**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract


Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

1.5 km

0.75

0

0.375



April 6,	2015	


Override 1

0	0.225	0.45	0.9 mi
0	0.375	0.75	1.5 km
urces:	Esri HERE Del orme	USGS Interman	increment P Corp NR


1:27,487

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

Low Mod Tract








April 6,	2015	

0	0.225	1:27,487 0.45	0.9 mi
0	0.375	0.75	1.5 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

Override 1

Low Mod Tract





**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

0.75 1.5 3 km Sources: Esri. HERE. DeLorme. USGS. Intermap. increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


1.9 mi

0.95

0

0

0.475



**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract


Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

1.5 km

0.75

0

0.375




April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 


0	0.225	0.9 mi	
0	0.375	0.75	1.5 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

Low Mod Tract



April 6	2015	0	0.225	1:27,487 0.45	0.9 mi
	Override 1	0	0.375	0.75	 1.5 km
	Low Mod Tract	Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia,⊚ OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community			




0	0.225	1:27,487 0.45	0.9 mi
0	0.375	0.75	1.5 km
			p, increment P Corp. NRCAN. g), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom,
			the GIS User Community

April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km


0.45

0.75

0.225

0.375

0



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

1:13,743

0.4 mi

0.7 km

0.2


0.35

0


0

0.1

0.175



April 6, 2015	1:13,743 0 0.1 0.2 0.4 mi
Override 1	0 0.175 0.35 0.7 km
Low Mod Tract	Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia,⊚ OpenstreetMap contributors.and the GIS User Community



April	6,	2015
-------	----	------

**Override 1** 

0	0.1	0.2	0.4 mi
0	0.175	0.35	0.7 km
irces: Esri	HERE Delo	rme USGS Inter	map, increment P Corp.

1:13,743

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors . and the GIS User Community



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors . and the GIS User Community

1:13,743

0.4 mi

0.7 km


0.2

0.35

0

0

0.1




April	6,	2015
-------	----	------

**Override 1** 

0 0.225 0.45 0.9 mi

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri. HERE. DeLorme. USGS. Intermap. increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

0.4 mi

0.7 km


0.2

0.35

0

0

0.1



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km


0.45

0.75

0

0

0.225




0 0.225		1:27,487 0.45	0.9 mi
0	0.375	0.75	1.5 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors . and the GIS User Community

Override 1

April 6, 2015



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km

0.45


0.75

0.225

0.375

0

0




0	0.225	1:27,487 0.45	0.9 mi
0	0.375	0.75	1.5 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors . and the GIS User Community


Override 1


April 6, 2015



	0	0.225	1:27,487 0.45	0.9 mi
1	0	0.375	0.75	1.5 km
d Tract	Esrt Japan	, METI, Esri (	China (Hong Kong	p, increment P Corp. NRCAN. g), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, the GIS User Community

Override Low Mod





April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community

0.2

0.35

0


0

0.1

0.175

0.4 mi

0.7 km



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract


0 0.375 0.75 1.5 km Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

1:27,487

0.9 mi

0.45

0



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract


0 0.375 0.75 1.5 km Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

1:27,487

0.9 mi

0.45

0



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

1:27,487

0.9 mi

1.5 km


0.45

0.75

0

0

0.225





**Override 1** 

0 0.375 0.75 1.5 km


0.9 mi

0.45

0

0.225

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community





Override 1


Low Mod Tract

0 0.175 0.35 0.7 km Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community

0.4 mi

0.2

0



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km


0.45

0.75

0

0

0.225



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km


0.45

0.75

0

0

0.225





**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sourc es: Esri. HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km

0.45


0.75

0.225

0.375

Δ

0



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km


0.45

0.75

0

0

0.225




April	6,	2015
-------	----	------

**Override 1** 

0 0.225	1:27,487 0.45	0.9 mi	
0	0.375	0.75	1.5 km

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors .and the GIS User Community



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community

0.2

0.35

0


0

0.1

0.175

0.4 mi

0.7 km



April 6, 2015

**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km


0.45

0.75

0

0

0.225





**Override 1** 

Low Mod Tract

Sources: Esri. HERE. DeLorme. USGS. Intermap. increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan. METI. Esri China (Hong Kong). Esrt (Thailand). TomTom. MapmyIndia. OpenstreetMap contributors. and the GIS User Community

0.9 mi

1.5 km

0.45


0.75

0.225

0.375


0

0



April 6, 2015	0	0.475	1:54,974 0.95	1.9 mi
Override 1		0.75	4.5	
Low Mod Tract	0 0.75 1.5 3 km Sources: Esri. HERE. DeLorme. USGS. Intermap. increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community			






**0 0.475 0.95 1.9 mi** 0 **0.75 1.5 3 km** 

Sources: Esri. HERE. DeLorme. USGS. Intermap. increment P Corp. NRCAN. Esrt Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esrt (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenstreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


Override 1

#### Additional Census Tract Information


- 1. Go to: <u>http://egis.hud.gov/cpdmaps/</u>.
- 2. In the search box, type Nashville, TN and hit "Enter".




3. Under "Select a Grantee then click Finish", select Nashville-Davidson CDBG. Click "Finish".




4. A map of Davidson County will appear. Close the "Grantee Summary" box.




5. Click on the "Layers" icon on the far left. In the box that appears, select "Boundaries".




6. Under "Boundaries", select "Other".



7. Under "Other", select "Low-Mod Census Tracts". Note: Use the scale on the far left side of the page to adjust the size of the map.



8. To get specific information about a census tract that has a green border, place your cursor inside the tract's border and click. Information about the tract will appear in a pop-up window.




9. For more information about this mapping tool, go to: <u>https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/CPD-Maps-Desk-Guide.pdf</u>.


#### EXHIBIT 5

#### HOW TO DETERMINE YOUR CENSUS TRACT


- 1. Go to: <u>http://www.nashville.gov/Planning-Department/Mapping-and-GIS/PropertyMapping.aspx</u>.
- 2. Click on: Metro Maps in the sentence that says, "Go to Metro Maps".




3. In the drop-down box under Select Search Criteria, select Address.




4. Enter your address, and then click on Search.



5. Under Search Results, click on the highlighted parcel number. *NOTE: The address for MDHA's central office is used as an example.* 



6. Under View More Parcel Data, scroll down until you find the Census Tract. The **last six numbers** identify your Census Tract.



7. If you need assistance, contact the Community Development Department at 615-252-8505.